

REMARKS

In the Office Action, claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. In response, independent claim 1 has been amended as previously provided. As further supported in the specification, such as Figure 3, the first area (e.g., 51) and the third area (e.g., 53) are substantially at a right angle with respect to one another. Applicants believe that this amendment is for clarification reasons and further should not be deemed to narrow and/or disclaim the claimed subject matter in view of same. Therefore, Applicants believe that the rejection pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph should be overcome and withdrawn in view of same.

In the Office Action, claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected for alleged non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting in view of Application No. 10/834,532. At the outset, claim 6 has been withdrawn from examination, and thus, this rejection should be rendered moot and withdrawn with respect to same.

Regarding claims 1-5, Applicants are submitting herewith a Terminal Disclaimer. Thus, the obviousness-type double patenting rejection should be withdrawn in view of same.

For the forgoing reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance and earnestly solicit reconsideration of same.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge deposit account 02-1818 for any fees which are due and owing.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC

BY 

Thomas C. Basso
Reg. No. 46,541
Cust. No. 29175

Dated: August 28, 2006